

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/857,867	09/10/2001	Stephen Murten	ATKINSON	2715
75	90 12/02/2003		EXAMINER	
James C Wray			CHIN SHUE, ALVIN C	
Suite 300 1493 Chain Brid	dge Road		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
McLean, VA 22101			3634	
			DATE MAILED: 12/02/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				9
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
Office Action Summary		09/857,867	MURTEN, STEPHEN	
		Examiner	Art Unit	-
		Alvin C. Chin-Shue	3634	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
THE   - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply object of the provision of the pro	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH:	by be timely filed  10) days will be considered timely.  S from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Se	<u>eptember 2003</u> .		
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.		
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E			
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>43-64</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>43-46,48-50,52-56,58-60,62-64</u> is/are Claim(s) <u>47,51,57 and 61</u> is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
•	ion Papers	,		
9) <u> </u> 10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance tion is required if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	).
, /—	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
12) \( \begin{array}{c} \text{* S} \\ 13) \( \begin{array}{c} \text{* S} \\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document:  2. Certified copies of the priority document:  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document:  application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestince a specific reference was included in the first 7 CFR 1.78.  b) The translation of the foreign language processing the priority document.	s have been received. s have been received in Apprity documents have been re u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not reception of the specification of the specificatio	lication No ceived in this National Stage ceived. 119(e) (to a provisional application or in an Application Data Sheen received. 120 and/or 121 since a specific	et.
Attachmen	at(s)			
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Infor	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 3634

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 43-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The preamble of the claims stated that only the safety apparatus is being claimed, while positive limitation in claims 43,53 and 64 to a person being tethered to the cable appears to be claiming a combination of the apparatus with a person, which renders the claims indefinite. Furthermore, it is inappropriate to be claiming a human being as part of one's invention.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 43-45,48,50 and 64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Palmer '305. Palmer shows a vertical support with upper part 28,38, lower part 46, first fixing means 64 and second fixing means 56.

Art Unit: 3634

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 53-55,58 and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Palmer '305. The claimed method would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in view of the structure of Palmer.

Claims 46 and 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Palmer in view of Pollman. Palmer shows the claimed apparatus with the exception of the strengthening components. Pollman shows internal (7) and external (spiral corrugation) strengthening components. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Palmer with internal and external strengthening components for reinforcing his vertical supports.

Claims 43-45,48,50,53-55,48 and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Palmer '305 in view of Palmer '150. Palmer '305 shows the claimed apparatus with the exception of the stable lower fixing means. Palmer '150 shows a stable lower fixing means at 28,30,40,48. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify

Art Unit: 3634

Palmer to comprise a stable self-supporting fixing means, in lieu of his at 56,24, to enable a stable self-supporting lower fixing means. The claimed method would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in view of the modified apparatus of Palmer '305.

Claims 49,52 and 59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Palmer '305 and Palmer' 150 as applied to claims 43 and 54 above, and further in view of either Burske or Murray. Both Burske and Murray show multiple tensioned cables. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Palmer '305 with multiple and tensioned cables to allow attachment of a plurality of tethers.

Claims 46 and 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Palmer '305 and Palmer '150 as applied to claims 43 and 53 above, and further in view of Pullman as applied above.

Claims 43-45,48,50,53-55,58-60,62,63 and 64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Japanese pat. 36604 to Takada or German pat. '323 to Schutte in view of Palmer '150 or '305. Both Takada and Schutte show the claimed apparatus with the exception of the two part vertical support. Palmer '150 and '305 both show two-part vertical support adjustably

Art Unit: 3634

attached between their first and second fixing means. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the vertical support of either Takada or Schutte to comprise upper and lower parts adjustably attached between there first and second fixing means to enable vertical adjustment of their vertical supports. The claimed method would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in view of the modified apparatus of either Takada or Schutte.

Claims 49,52 and 59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Takada or Schutte and either Palmer '150 or '305 as applied to claims 43 and 54 above, and further in view of either Burske or Murray. Both Burske and Murray show multiple tensioned cables. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide either Takada or Schutte with multiple and tensioned cables to allow attachment of a plurality of tethers.

Claim 46 and 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Takada or Schutte and either Palmer '150 or '305 as applied to claims 43 and 53 above, and further in view of Pullman as applied above.

Art Unit: 3634

Claims 47,51,57 and 61 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin C. Chin-Shue whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 3634

Page 7

703-308-2475. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on 703-308-2686. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-305-3597.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-3008-1113.

Alvin C. Chin-Shue Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

ACS